repeated.

CLAIMS

- A method of designing a transport network, for routing a plurality of routable flows, having a plurality of network elements and a plurality of connections between
 said network elements, the method comprising:
 - a) defining (4) a first network configuration and at least one alternative network configuration for said transport network;
- b) calculating (6), for each of said first and any 10 alternative network configuration, a probability function (P(n)) representing, for each maximum number (n) of routable flows, the probability of routing such a number (n) of flows in the network configuration currently considered;
- 15 c) calculating (8), for each of said first and any alternative network configuration, a complexity function (C_i(n)) calculated as the ratio between a sum of complexity factors relative to the network elements of the network configuration currently considered and said 20 probability function (P(n));
 - d) comparing (10) the complexity functions $(C_i(n))$ of said first and any alternative network configurations, for choosing a network configuration having a lowest complexity value.
- 25 2. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said probability function (P(n)) is calculated as the ratio between the number of times that a maximum number (n) of routable flows has been successfully routed, by means of a test routine (20) repeated a predetermined number of times
 30 (m), and the number of times (m) said test routine has been
 - 3. A method as claimed in claim 2, wherein said test routine (20) comprises:

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

- g) generating a first random number (22) representing a first network element;
- h) generating a second random number (24), different from said first random number (22), representing a second
 5 network element;
 - i) searching a free path between said first network element and said second network element and, in case said free path has been found, increasing a counter (n_OK) of maximum routable flows and marking said path as a routed flow;
- 10 j) repeating steps g) to i) until no one free path can be found for routing a new flow.
- 4. A method as claimed in claim 3, wherein first (22) and second (24) random number are weighted random numbers, in order to simulate a polarized traffic demand in the 15 network.
- 5. A method as claimed in claim 3, wherein said step of searching a free path provides for searching initially a shortest path between said first and second network elements, for successively searching a longer path if said 20 shortest path has not been found.
 - 6. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said step of comparing the complexity functions $(C_i(n))$ is performed calculating said complexity function $(C_i(n))$, for each network configuration considered, in correspondence of an
- 25 estimated maximum number (n) of routable flows in said transport network.
- A method as claimed in claim 1 or 6, wherein the complexity factor of a network element is proportional to the cost of the same network element, and said complexity
 function (C_i(n)) represents a unit-cost-per-flow function.
 - 8. A computer program comprising computer program code means adapted to perform all the steps of any of claims 1 to 7 when said program is run on a computer.

- 9. A computer program as claimed in claim 8 embodied on a computer readable medium.
- 10. A device for designing a transport network having a plurality of network elements and a plurality of connections between said network elements, characterized in that it comprises:
 - a network configuration unit (4), for defining a first network configuration and at least one alternative network configuration for said transport network;
- 10 a probability evaluation unit (6), for calculating, for each of said first and any alternative network configuration, a probability function (P(n)) representing, for each maximum number (n) of routable flows, the probability of routing such a number (n) of flows in the
 15 network configuration currently considered;
 - a complexity evaluation unit (8), for calculating, for each of said first and any alternative network configuration, a complexity function $(C_i(n))$ calculated as the ratio between a sum of complexity factors relative to
- 20 the network elements of the network configuration currently considered and said probability function (P(n));
 - a comparison unit (10), for comparing the complexity functions $(C_i(n))$ of said first and any alternative network configurations, for choosing a network configuration having
- 25 a lowest complexity value.
 - 11. A device as claimed in claim 10, wherein said probability evaluation unit (6) calculates said probability function (P(n)) as the ratio between the number of times that a maximum number (n) of routable flows has been
- of a test routine repeated a predetermined number of times (m), and the number of times (m) said test routine has been repeated.
 - 12. A device as claimed in claim 11, wherein said test routine (20) comprises:

- g) generating a first random number (22) representing a first network element;
- h) generating a second random number (24), different from said first random number (22), representing a second
- 5 network element;
 - i) searching a free path between said first network element and said second network element and, in case said free path has been found, increasing a counter (n_OK) of maximum routable flows and marking said path as a routed flow;
- 10 j) repeating steps g) to i) until no one free path can be found for routing a new flow.
 - 13. A device as claimed in claim 12, wherein said step of searching a free path provides for searching initially a shortest path between said first and second network
- 15 elements, for successively searching a longer path if said shortest path has not been found.
 - 14. A device as claimed in claim 10, wherein said comparison unit (10) compares the complexity functions $(C_1(n))$ by calculating said complexity function $(C_1(n))$,
- 20 for each network configuration considered, in correspondence of an estimated maximum number (n) of routable flows in said transport network.
 - 15. A device as claimed in claim 10 or 14, wherein the complexity factor of a network element is proportional to
- 25 the cost of the same network element, and said complexity function $(C_1(n))$ represents a unit-cost-per-flow function.